# 2 RF 5 6 93

0_ 111 2 _0_	-'-4	)
DIST.	(1=	
A. MIMALP		
RMAN H.S.		
RNIVAL. G.J.		
PP. R.D.		_
VIS. J.G.	_	<u></u>
ERED. J.E.		
	_	
ODWIN R.		Щ.
		<u></u>
RMAN, L. K.		
ALY T.J.	<u> </u>	<u></u>
PIG J.G	-	
	•	
ASH J.M		<u> </u>
		<u></u>
ESTER, A.W.		
JEG. D.		
E, E.M.	_	
AJESTIC J.R		
48X G.E		
DONALD, M.M.		<u></u>
DAGAN, R.V.	X	
ITTER, G.L.	X	
ZZUTO, V.M.		<u> </u>
NOLIN, N.B.		
ENED BI		
ILLIVAN. M.T.		
VANSON, E.R.		
LIMAN K.G.		
EBE. J.S.		
LKINSON R.B.	X	
LSON, J. M.	Ī	
NE. J. D.	Ī	
Thort FH	X	
medy CE	ΙŹ	_
mison EA		
hustin In		<del>-</del>
TX	X	_
bubbe DL		_
46 RT	Ŷ	
FULL ED	_	
		<u> </u>
	-	<del>-</del>
	-	_
		_
		<u></u>
		-
FULL TO PL		
+WM Track's		_
DARES CONTROC	x	1

CLASSIFICATION:

3C14	1 1	
NCLASSIFIED	IXI	
CNFIDENTIAL		
ECRET	1 1	

THORIZED CLASSIFIER

TO A DEPLY TO REP OF ROW

CTION ITEM STATUE

CPEN (I) CLOSED

PARTIAL

PART

(5459 (Bev. 492)

## EG&G ROCKY FLATS



000028080

EG&G ROCKY FLATS, INC.
ROCKY FLATS PLANT, P.O. BOX 464, GOLDEN, COLORADO 80402-0464 • (303) 966-

May 27, 1992

92-RF-5693

Terry A. Vaeth Manager DOE, RFO

Attn: F. R. Lockhart

IAG DELIVERABLE: SUBMITTAL OF THE DRAFT PHASE I RFI/RI WORK PLAN FOR OU15 - JMK-0490-92

Enclosed is the Draft Phase I RCRA Facilities Investigation/Remedial Investigation (RFI/RI) Work Plan for Operable Unit No. 15 (OU 15). The scheduled Rocky Flats Interagency Agreement (IAG) milestone date for delivery of the Work Plan to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the Colorado Department of Health (CDH) is June 1, 1992. We are prepared to deliver two copies of this Work Plan to EPA and to CDH upon receipt of your transmittal letter.

If you have questions or require additional information, please contact D. L. Schubbe at extension 8709 of the Remediation Programs Division.

7J. M. Kersh, Associate General Manager Environmental and Waste Management

DLS:dmf

Orig. and 1 cc - T. A. Vaeth

Enclosures: As Stated

1000 0001

 $\infty$ :

J. Pepe - DOE, RFO

REVIEWED FOR CLASSIFICATION/UCH BY S. F. Goldiek 220 DATE 4-17-95

### **MEMORANDUM**

May 4, 1992

TO:

P. S. Bunge, RPD, Interlocken, x8679

FROM:

S. Schubbe, RPD, Interlock, x8709

SUBJECT:

SCHEDULE FOR DELIVERY OF THE DRAFT PHASE I RFVRI WORK PLAN FOR

OPERABLE UNIT NO. 15, INSIDE BUILDING CLOSURES.

Paul, please be advised that a very tight schedule is presently in place for preparation and transmittal of the Draft Phase I RFI/RI Work Plan for OU15. The expedited draft work plan preparation and transmittal schedule necessary to meet the IAG Table 6 Milestone of June 1, 1992, is presented below.

May 5 thru 20,1992 Internal comment period for EG&G and DOE/RFO.

May 21 thru 25,1992 S. M. Stoller Corp. to revise work plan document based on

comments received.

May 26 thru 27,1992 Receive finalized work plan document from S. M. Stoller, have the

document classified, obtain appropriate EG&G signatures and

transmit to DOE/RFO.

\*\*June 1, 1992 DOE/RFO to transmit finalized work plan document to EPA and

CDH.

\*\* IAG Table 6 Milestone

The expedited schedule is necessary due to a delay in awarding the subcontract for work plan preparation. The EG&G Interoffice Correspondence which documents the chronology of events from the date of RPD's submittal of the SOW for preparation of the Draft Phase I Work Plan for OU15 to Procurement to the date of Procurement's award of the subcontract to The S. M. Stoller Corporation is attached. Unfortunately, due to the chain of events documented, the expedited schedule presented above only allows for two (2) days (i.e., May 26 and 27) for classification of the draft work plan and for EG&G signatures up to the Kersch level to be obtained for official transmittal of the IAG Milestone document to DOE/RFO. I will hand carry the document to both you and Erich for your signatures on May 26, 1992, prior to submittal to Kersch for his signature on May 27, 1992. Hopefully, the document may then be officially transmitted by COB on May 27, 1992, to DOE/RFO.

If you have questions regarding this memorandum or the attached documentation, please contact me on extension x8709.



### INTEROFFICE CORRESPONDENCE

DATE:

May 1, 1992

TO:

R. T. Ogg, Remediation Programs, Bldg. 80, X8608

FROM:

\$ D. 1

D. L. Schubbe, Remediation Programs, Bldg. 80, X8709

SUBJECT:

DOCUMENTATION OF CHRONOLOGY OF EVENTS FOR THE DRAFT PHASE I RCRA FACILITY INVESTIGATION / REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION (RFI/RI) WORK PLAN FOR OPERABLE UNIT NO. 15 (INSIDE BUILDING CLOSURES) - DLS-003-92

The attached documentation provides a chronology of events since Remediation Programs Division's (RPD's) submittal of the Statement of Work (SOW) for preparation of the draft Phase I RFI/RI Work Plan for OU15. A delay in bringing a subcontractor on board (i.e., letter subcontract award) any later than that indicated in the chronology outlined below would have lead to submittal of a substandard document to meet the IAG Milestone. Submittal of a substandard document could be considered malicious compliance by and rejected outright by EPA and CDH resulting in penalties of not more than \$5,000 the first week (or part thereof) and \$10,000 each additional week (or part thereof).

If you have questions regarding this correspondence, please contact me on extension 8709.

DLS:cet

 $\infty$ :

G M. Anderson

M. B. Arndt

P. S. Bunge

L. C. Medal

A. M. Shaffer

#### 1991:

November 25 RPD submitted the SOW for preparation of OU15 Phase I RFI/RI Work Plan to Procurement.

#### 1992:

- January 17 Procurement submitted IT Corporation's proposal to RPD for technical evaluation.
- January 20 Procurement requested technical evaluation of IT's proposal from RPD.
- January 29 RPD submitted the Technical Evaluation of the subcontractor's proposal to Procurement.
- February 26 Cost analyst requested clarification of technical evaluation from RPD.
- February 27 RPD provided cost analyst with technical evaluation clarification.
- March 16

  Subcontract negotiations began between Procurement, RPD, IT Corporation and S.M. Stoller Corporation. Procurement recommended that S.M. Stoller Corporation be subcontracted as a sole source if contract negotiations fail. Anne Shaffer stated to RPD that a sole source subcontract with S.M. Stoller Corporation could be obtained within five (5) working days. RPD concurred with Procurement's recommendation based on the short time frame necessary for subcontracting S.M. Stoller Corporation which was indicated by Procurement.
- March 17 Subcontract negotiations with IT Corporation failed, due to non-agreement of subcontractor fee (i.e., 6% vs 8% fee).
- March 18 RPD submitted the JNCP for S.M. Stoller Corporation to prepare RFI/RI Work Plan at the request of Procurement. The tight schedule and potential penalties with regard to the IAG Milestone were referenced within the JNCP submitted to Procurement.
- March 20 Randy Ogg of RPD addressed Interoffice Correspondence to Procurement documenting the reasons for subcontract negotiation failure.
- March 24 S.M. Stoller Corporation submitted a proposal to Procurement as a sole source.

R.T. Ogg May 1, 1992 DLS-003-92 Page 3

March 25	Procurement submitted S.M. Stoller Corporation's proposal to RPD for Technical Evaluation.
March 26	RPD submitted the Technical Evaluation to Procurement, indicating in the transmittal letter that it is imperative to have a subcontract in place on or before March 31, 1992, in order to maintain compliance with the IAG schedule and avoid IAG stipulated penalties.
March 27	The S.M. Stoller JNCP was resubmitted to DOE-RFO for approval.
April 1	Subcontract negotiations between Procurement, RPD, and S.M. Stoller Corporation were conducted and successfully completed.
April 3	RPD's signature for Contract Technical Representative delegation was requested by and submitted to Procurement.
April 7	Procurement received verbal conditional approval of S.M. Stoller JNCP from DOE-RFO.
April 8	Anne Shaffer requested a memo for further explanation of the JNCP in order to obtain approval of a letter subcontract by Procurement. RPD responded to Procurement's request with a memo indicating the urgent need to obtain a subcontract as soon as possible. Similarly, it was indicated within the memo that a substandard document (i.e., substandard due to inadequate time allowed for work plan preparation based on the untimely award of a subcontract by Procurement) submitted to the EPA and CDH could be rejected outright and IAG penalties levied.
April 14	Letter subdontract issued at COB by Procurement to S.M. Stoller Corporation authorizing work to begin on the draft Phase I RFI/RI Work Plan.
April 15	A scoping meeting for the draft Phase I RFI/RI Work Plan was conducted with EPA, CDH, DOE-RFO, EG&G and S.M. Stoller Corporation.
April 22	The definitized subcontract was awarded to S.M. Stoller Corporation.